## A simple proof

Lin Zhong

Liu and Layland provide a sufficient condition for a set of periodic tasks to have a feasible fixed-priority schedule in [1]. Task i is denoted by  $C_i$ , the time to serve each of the periodic requests, and  $T_i$ , the period. Liu and Layland's theorem states that the set of m tasks are feasible if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{C_i}{T_i} \le m(2^{1/m} - 1) \tag{1}$$

Bini, Buttazzo and Buttazzo provide a better (less pessimistic) sufficient condition in [2]. Their theorem states that the set of tasks are feasible if

$$\Pi_{i=1}^{m}(\frac{C_i}{T_i} + 1) \le 2 \tag{2}$$

To prove the condition in Equation 2 is better (less pessimistic) than that in Equation 1, we only need to prove that if a set of tasks meet the latter, they also meet the former. That is, given Equation 1, we must prove Equation 2.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{i=1}^m \frac{C_i}{T_i} &\leq m(2^{1/m}-1) \implies \Sigma_{i=1}^m (\frac{C_i}{T_i}+1) \leq m \cdot 2^{1/m} \\ &\implies \frac{\Sigma_{i=1}^m (\frac{C_i}{T_i}+1)}{m} \leq 2^{1/m} \end{split}$$

Given the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means [3], we have

$$[\Pi_{i=1}^{m}(\frac{C_{i}}{T_{i}}+1)]^{1/m} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\frac{C_{i}}{T_{i}}+1)}{m} \leq 2^{1/m}$$

$$\implies \Pi_{i=1}^{m}(\frac{C_{i}}{T_{i}}+1) \leq 2$$

References

[1] Chung Laung Liu and James W Layland. Scheduling algorithms for multiprogramming in a hard-real-time environment. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 20(1):46–61, 1973.

[2] Enrico Bini, Giorgio C Buttazzo, and Giuseppe M Buttazzo. Rate monotonic analysis: the hyperbolic bound. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 52(7):933–942, 2003.

[3] Wikipedia. Inequality of arithmetic and geometric means. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inequality\_of\_arithmetic\_and\_geometric\_means.